

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bus 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22)13-1430 www.uspro.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/831,610 | 05/10/2001 | Thomas Wolfgang Friedrich Hirn | CM1956Q | 5738 | |
| 27752 7590 12/15/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| THE PROCT | ER & GAMBLE CON | CAIN, EDWARD J | | | |
| | IAL PROPERTY DIVIS L TECHNICAL CENTI | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE | | | 1714 | | |
| CINCINNATI, OH 45224 | | | DATE MALE ED. 12(15/2002) | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | • | 09/831,610 |) | HIRN ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Edward J. | | 1714 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply | unication appears on the | cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | |
| THE I - Exter efter - If the - If NO - Failu | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reaply received by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever mmunication. ((30) days, a reply within the statut statutory period will apply and will ply will, by statute, cause the appli is after the mailing date of this com | nt, however, may a reply b tory minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS (extion to become ABAND | e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) | filed on . | | | |
| , | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action is no | n-final. | • | |
| | Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the pra | on for allowance except | for formal matters, | prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the | e application. | • | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is | | sideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | • | |
| • | Claim(s) 1.3-10 and 13-17 is/are | rejected. | • | | |
| • | Claim(s) 2,11 and 12 is/are object | The state of the s | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to res | | equirement. | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/a | ire: a) accepted or b) | objected to by t | he Examiner. | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any o | bjection to the drawing(s) b | e held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) include | ling the correction is require | ed if the drawing(s) i | s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected | d to by the Examiner. No | ote the attached Of | ffice Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 12)🔯 | Acknowledgment is made of a cla ⊠ All b) Some c) None o | of: , | | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the prior | nty documents have bee | n received. n received in Appl | ication No. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the Interna | ational Bureau (PCT Rul | e 17.2(a)). | | |
| _*; | See the attached detailed Office ad | ction for a list of the certi | fied copies not rec | eived. | |
| S | Acknowledgment is made of a clair since a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. | m for domestic priority ui ided in the first sentence | of the specification | on or in an Application Data Sheet | |
| | a) The translation of the foreign | language provisional ap | plication has been | received. | |
| 14) <u> </u> | Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first s | m for domestic priority user sentence of the specifica | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ ition or in an Applic | 120 and/or 121 since a specific cation Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | |
| Attachmei | nt(s) | | | • | |
| 1) 🔯 Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Sum | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| 2) Noti | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 | w (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Information Other: | mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/831,610

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonora.

Bonora discloses films of low density polyethylene comprising metal oxides such as zinc oxide and benzotriazoles (see claims) formed by blow extrusion (example 1).

Applicants limitations to barrier wavelengths and haze are seen as inherent to the films of the reference since their chemical compositions meet the limitations of the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora in view of Knoerzer et al.

Bonora discloses polymeric films as discussed above. This r ference fails to explicitly recite particles sizes for the metal oxides, the use of titanium oxide, orientation of the films or applicants preferred film thickness.

Art Unit: 1714

Knoerzer et al discloses the use of nanoscale particles of titanium dioxide as UV barriers for polyolefin films which may be oriented (see abstract and column 2, line 50).

Regarding applicants claimed film thickness, values such as are claimed are seen as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art desiring to tailor the film to a particular application.

Claims 2, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phon number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

EDWARD J. CAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500